| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|--|
| | 09/694,288 | LEWIS, TIMOTHY A. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Taghi T. Arani | 2131 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | |
| (1) <u>Taghi T. Arani</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Loren H. AcRoss</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 27 September 2005 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No | nt's representative) | |
| If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Called the Applicant's attorney as to the ststus of outstanding offi he will call back. He did not. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary | e examiner will provide a writton ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | - |
|)n. | (CI mon . | / |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/ | Applicant's Representative Si | gnature – if appropriate) |